

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA  
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION

JAMES PADDOCK,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	<b>ANSWER TO COMPLAINT</b>
vs.	)	
	)	No. CV04-1033 LRR
THE ARCHDIOCESE OF DUBUQUE,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

COMES NOW Defendant The Archdiocese of Dubuque and in Answer to Plaintiff's  
Complaint states as follows:

**PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 1 thereof for lack of information.
2. That it admits the allegations of paragraph 2 thereof.
3. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 3 thereof.
4. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 4 thereof.
5. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 5 thereof.

**GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

6. That it hereby incorporates by reference its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 5 as  
though fully set forth herein.
7. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 7 thereof for lack of information.

8. That it admits the allegations of paragraph 8 thereof.
9. That it admits the allegations of paragraph 9 thereof.
10. That it admits the allegations of paragraph 10 thereof.
11. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 11 thereof.
12. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 12 thereof for lack of information.
13. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 13 thereof for lack of information.
14. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 14 thereof for lack of information.
15. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 15 thereof for lack of information.
16. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 16 thereof for lack of information.
17. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 17 thereof for lack of information.
18. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 18 thereof.
19. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 19 thereof for lack of information.
20. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 20 thereof for lack of information.
21. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 21 thereof.
22. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 22 thereof.
23. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 23 thereof.
24. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 24 thereof.
25. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 25 thereof.
26. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 26 thereof.

**COUNT I - ASSAULT AND BATTERY**

27. That it hereby incorporates by reference its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 26 as though fully set forth herein.

28. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 28 thereof for lack of information.

29. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 29 thereof for lack of information.

30. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 30 thereof.

31. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 31 thereof.

32. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 32 thereof.

WHEREFORE, Defendant The Archdiocese of Dubuque prays that Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed at Plaintiff's cost.

### **COUNT II - CHILDHOOD SEXUAL ABUSE**

33. That it hereby incorporates by reference its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 26 as though fully set forth herein.

34. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 34 thereof for lack of information.

35. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 35 thereof.

36. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 36 thereof.

37. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 37 thereof.

WHEREFORE, Defendant The Archdiocese of Dubuque prays that Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed at Plaintiff's cost.

### **COUNT III - INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**

38. That it hereby incorporates by reference its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 26 as though fully set forth herein.

39. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 39 thereof for lack of information.

40. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 40 thereof.

41. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 41 thereof.

42. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 42 thereof.

43. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 43 thereof.

44. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 44 thereof.

45. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 45 thereof.

WHEREFORE, Defendant The Archdiocese of Dubuque prays that Count III of Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed at Plaintiff's cost.

**COUNT IV - BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY**

46. That it hereby incorporates by reference its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 26 as though fully set forth herein.

47. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 47 thereof for lack of information.

48. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 48 thereof.

49. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 49 thereof.

50. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 50 thereof.

WHEREFORE, Defendant The Archdiocese of Dubuque prays that Count IV of Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed at Plaintiff's cost.

**COUNT V - NEGLIGENT HIRING, SUPERVISING, WARNING,  
DOCUMENTING, AND/OR RETAINING BY DEFENDANT DIOCESE**

51. That it hereby incorporates by reference its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 26 as though fully set forth herein.

52. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 52 thereof.

53. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 53 thereof.

54. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 54 thereof.

55. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 55 thereof.

56. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 56 thereof.

57. That it denies the allegations of paragraph 57 thereof.

WHEREFORE, Defendant The Archdiocese of Dubuque prays that Count V of Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed at Plaintiff's cost.

### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

COMES NOW Defendant The Archdiocese of Dubuque and in further Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint affirmatively states as follows:

1. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the statute of limitations.
2. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the statute of limitations existing at the time of the alleged acts and those that applied since the alleged acts.
3. At no time during the period in question was Father William Roach an employee of The Archdiocese of Dubuque.
4. If Plaintiff's allegations against Father William Roach are true, Father William Roach was acting outside the scope of his priestly duties and those actions were neither condoned nor tolerated by this Defendant.
5. If Plaintiff's allegations against Father William Roach are true, Father William Roach was not acting as an agent of The Archdiocese of Dubuque, and at no time did The Archdiocese of Dubuque intend or authorize the alleged acts which form the basis of this lawsuit.
6. If Plaintiff's allegations against Father William Roach are true, Father William Roach was not acting as an agent of The Archdiocese of Dubuque, and whatever defenses Plaintiff

has against the statute of limitations apply only to the alleged perpetrator and do not apply against The Archdiocese of Dubuque.

7. Matters relating to the hiring, supervising, warning, documenting and/or retaining of priests by The Archdiocese are a matter of Canon Law and protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

8. The relationship between The Archdiocese and its priests is governed by Canon Law, and examination of this relationship is prohibited by the provisions of the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed at Plaintiff's cost.

THE ARCHDIOCESE OF DUBUQUE,  
Defendant

By /s/ Brendan T. Quann  
Brendan T. Quann 000004440

By /s/ Davin C. Curtiss  
Davin C. Curtiss 000014786

Mailing Address:  
O'CONNOR & THOMAS, P.C.  
P. O. Box 599  
Dubuque, IA 52004-0599

Physical Address:  
O'CONNOR & THOMAS, P.C.  
Dubuque Building  
700 Locust Street, Ste. 200  
Dubuque, IA 52001-6874

Telephone: (563) 557-8400  
Facsimile: (563) 556-1867  
E-mail: bquann@octhomaslaw.com  
dcurtiss@octhomaslaw.com

Attorneys for said Defendant.

Original filed electronically.

Copy to:

Attorneys Thomas L. Staack and  
Chad A. Swanson  
Dutton, Braun, Staack & Hellman, P.L.C.  
3151 Brockway Road  
P.O. Box 810  
Waterloo, IA 50704